Overblik over AMSTAR vurderinger KOL

	Reference	Was an 'a priori' design provided?	2. Was there duplicate study selection and data extraction?	Was a comprehensive literature search performed?	4. Was the status of publication (i.e. grey literature) used as an inclusion criterion?		6. Were the characteristics of the included studies provided?	7. Was the scientific quality of the included studies assessed and documented?	8. Was the scientific quality of the included studies used appropriately in formulating conclusions?	9. Were the methods used to combine the findings of studies appropriate?	10. Was the likelihood of publication bias assessed?	11. Was the conflict of interest included?	Anvendes i NKR?	øvrigt	
Eik	Bize2012	yes	yes	yes men?	no	yes	yes	yes but not all cochrane domains	no	yes	No				
Jeppe Consensus	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	7	' Ja		
Eik Jeppe	Cahill2015	ikke den rigtig	e intervention									C	Nej	Sammenligner for bredt (incentive vs kontrol). Langt de meste er penge præmier for rygestop	
Consensus Eik	Coronini-Cronberg 2011	no	no	Yes, but english language restiction,no sprimetre term?	yes	no, not excluded	yes	no	no	no, no metaanalysis	no	yes, "none declared"		kun studier fra nord-vest europa	
Jeppe	No	Can't answer	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Not applicable	No	No	3	Nej	Ingen metaanalyse. 2 studier beskrives narativt (Kotz).	
Consensus Eik	Haroon 2015	yes	no - 90% of selection only by one reviewer, extracting wes checked by a second	yes, but time restrict 15 år	yes	no, not excluded	yes, in suppl data	yes, used QUADAS 2 tool	partly	yes, but not for us	no	yes			
Jeppe	Haroon2015													0 Nej	Inkluderer kun diagnostiske studier af spirometri og bør derfor ekskluderes
Consensus Eik Jeppe	Bize2007	forkortet/arti	kel version af cochra	ne review Bize2005	der er en tidligere v	version af Bize2012									
Consensus Eik Jeppe	Bize2009	Tidligere vers	on af Bize 2012												
Consensus Eik Jeppe	Wallace2006 Wallace2006	no Can't answer	no No	no No	no Can't answer	no No	no No	no No	no No	no No	no No	No		0 Nej	Har jeg virkelig inkluderet det her review? Tjek lige covidence igen om det er den korrekte ref. Er IKKE systematisk. Anthonisen et al. 1994 er et RCT med spirometri som måske er relevant. Anthonisen, N. R., Connett, J. E., Kiley, J. P., Altose, M. D., Bailey, W. C., Buist, A. S., et al. (1994, November 16). Effects of smoking intervention and the use of an inhaled anticholinergic bronchodilator on the rate of decline of FEV1. The Lung Health Study. Journal of the American Medical Association, 272(19), 1497–1505.
Eik Jeppe Consensus	Wilt2007 Wilt2007	yes Can't answer	no No	yes Yes	no Yes	yes No	yes Yes	yes No	yes No	yes Not applicable	no No	No		3	No metaanalyses, blot opsummering af resultater