

# AMSTAR

| Observer      | Citation     | PICO/PIRO no. | PICO/PIRO name                            | List relevant outcomes  | Was meta-analysis performed for the relevant outcomes?  |
|---------------|--------------|---------------|---|---|---|
| Tanja Carøe   | Schmitt 2010 | 5,6           | <b>Daglig behandling med steroidcreme</b> | <p>Sværhedsgrad af eksemet (HECSI , PGA, Clear-all most clear, Clinical photographic guide og Osnabruck severity index)</p> <p>Livskvalitet (DLQI eller andre livskvalitets-score)</p> <p>Hudatrofi</p> | <p>nej (beskriver outcome for vedligeholdelsesbehandling)</p> <p>nej (beskriver outcome for vedligeholdelsesbehandling)</p> <p>nej (beskriver outcome for vedligeholdelsesbehandling)</p> |
| Marianne Hald | Schmitt 2010 | 5,6           | <b>Daglig behandling med steroidcreme</b> | <p>Sværhedsgrad af eksemet (HECSI , PGA, Clear-all most clear, Clinical photographic guide og Osnabruck severity index)</p> <p>Livskvalitet (DLQI eller andre livskvalitets-score)</p> <p>Hudatrofi</p> | <p>Metaanalyse er kun udført på opblussen af eksem i undersøgelsesperioden</p> <p>Nej</p> <p>Nej</p>  |

consensus

|  |                              |   |   |  |   |  |   |
|--|------------------------------|---|---|--|---|--|---|
| 1. Was an 'a priori' selection and data design provided? | 2. Was there duplicate study | 3. Was a comprehensive literature search performed? | 4. Was the status of publication (i.e. grey literature) | 5. Was a list of studies (included and excluded) used as an inclusion criterion? | 6. Were the characteristics of the included studies provided? | 7. Was the scientific quality of the included studies assessed | 8. Was the scientific quality of studies used appropriately in formulating conclusions? |
|--|------------------------------|---|---|--|---|--|---|

Yes Yes Yes No No Yes Yes Yes

Yes Yes Yes No No Yes Yes Can't answer

Yes Yes Yes No No Yes Yes Yes

|  |  |  |       |
|--|--|--|-------|
| 9. Were the methods used to combine the findings of studies appropriate? | 10. Was the likelihood of publication bias assessed? | 11. Was the conflict of interest included? | Notes |
|--|--|--|-------|

|     |     |     |  |
|-----|-----|-----|--|
| Yes | Yes | Yes | Undersøgelsen beskæftiger sig ikke med kontinuerlig behandling med steroidcreme i mere end 8 uger, alle inkluderede studier overgår til vedligeholdelsesbehandling inden der er gået 8 uger. undersøgelse foretaget på Atopisk Eksem ikke håndeksem. |
| Yes | Yes | No  | Punkt 5: alle 9 studier, der opfyldte prædefinerede inklussionskriterier er angivet.<br>Så i tvivl om der skal stå ja/nej.   |
| Yes | Yes | No  | Punkt 8: I diskussionsaafsnittet omtales kvaliteten af stuiderne ikke indgående.<br>Der berøres overordnede problemstillinger såsom definitionen på flare up   |