

NKR 31 PICO 1 Tonsilektomi

Review information

Authors

Sundhedsstyrelsen¹

¹[Empty affiliation]

Citation example: S. NKR 31 PICO 1 Tonsilektomi. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews [Year], Issue [Issue].

Contact person

[Empty name]

Dates

Assessed as Up-to-date:

Date of Search:

Next Stage Expected:

Protocol First Published: Not specified

Review First Published: Not specified

Last Citation Issue: Not specified

What's new

Date / Event	Description
--------------	-------------

History

Date / Event	Description

Characteristics of studies

Characteristics of included studies

Garetz

Methods	
Participants	
Interventions	
Outcomes	
Notes	

Risk of bias table

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence generation (selection bias)	Unclear risk	
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Unclear risk	
Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias)	Unclear risk	
Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias)	Unclear risk	
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias)	Unclear risk	
Selective reporting (reporting bias)	Unclear risk	
Other bias	Unclear risk	

Goldstein

Methods	
Participants	
Interventions	
Outcomes	
Notes	

Risk of bias table

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence generation (selection bias)	Unclear risk	
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Unclear risk	
Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias)	Unclear risk	
Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias)	Unclear risk	
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias)	Unclear risk	
Selective reporting (reporting bias)	Unclear risk	
Other bias	Unclear risk	

Katz

Methods	
Participants	
Interventions	
Outcomes	
Notes	

Risk of bias table

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence generation (selection bias)	Unclear risk	
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Unclear risk	
Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias)	Unclear risk	
Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias)	Unclear risk	
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias)	Unclear risk	
Selective reporting (reporting bias)	Unclear risk	
Other bias	Unclear risk	

Marcus

Methods
Participants
Interventions
Outcomes
Notes

Risk of bias table

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence generation (selection bias)	Unclear risk	
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Unclear risk	
Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias)	Unclear risk	
Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias)	Unclear risk	
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias)	Unclear risk	

Selective reporting (reporting bias)	Unclear risk
Other bias	Unclear risk

Footnotes

Characteristics of excluded studies

Footnotes

Characteristics of studies awaiting classification

Footnotes

Characteristics of ongoing studies

Footnotes

Summary of findings tables

Additional tables

References to studies

Included studies

Garetz

[Empty]

Goldstein

[Empty]

Katz

[Empty]

Marcus

[Empty]

Excluded studies**Studies awaiting classification****Ongoing studies****Other references****Additional references****Other published versions of this review****Data and analyses****1 tonsillektomi vs no surgery**

Outcome or Subgroup	Studies	Participants	Statistical Method	Effect Estimate
1.1 haemorrhage	4	441	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	7.03 [0.37, 135.33]
1.2 growth retardation	1	396	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.47 [0.09, 2.54]
1.3 remissions of symptoms: snoring	1	400	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	-0.60 [-0.67, -0.53]


Figures

Figure 1 (Analysis 1.1)




Forest plot of comparison: 1 tonsillectomi vs no surgery, outcome: 1.1 haemroage.

Figure 2 (Analysis 1.2)



Forest plot of comparison: 1 tonsillectomi vs no surgery, outcome: 1.2 growth retardation.

Figure 3 (Analysis 1.3)



Forest plot of comparison: 1 tonsillektomi vs no surgery, outcome: 1.3 remissions of symptoms: snoring.

Appendices