

Overblik over AMSTAR vurderinger af retningslinjer for National klinisk retningslinje om hysterektomi (fjernelse af livmoderen) ved godartet sygdom

Reference	1. Was an 'a priori' design provided?	2. Was there duplicate study selection and data extraction?	3. Was a comprehensive literature search performed?	4. Was the status of publication (i.e. grey literature) used as an inclusion criterion?	5. Was a list of studies (included and excluded) provided?
Total versus subtotal hysterectomy for benign gynaecological conditions, Lethaby et al.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Surgical approach to hysterectomy for benign gynaecological disease, Nieboer et al.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Vaginal hysterectomy versus total laparoscopic hysterectomy for benign disease: a metaanalysis of randomized controlled trials, Gendy et al.	No	No	Yes	No	No
Robot-assisted laparoscopic hysterectomy vs traditional laparoscopic hysterectomy: five metaanalyses, Scandola et al.	Yes	No	Yes	No	No
A systematic review and cost analysis of robot-assisted hysterectomy in malignant and benign conditions, Tapper et al.	Yes	No	Yes	No	No
Systematic Review of Robotic Surgery in Gynecology: Robotic Techniques Compared With Laparoscopy and Laparotomy, Gala et al.	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Laparoscopic Hysterectomy in Case of Uteri Weighing >1 Kilogram: A Series of 71 Cases and Review of the Literature, Uccella et al.	Yes	No	No	Yes	No

6. Were the characteristics of the included studies provided?	7. Was the scientific quality of the included studies assessed and documented?	8. Was the scientific quality of the included studies used appropriately in formulating conclusions?	9. Were the methods used to combine the findings of studies appropriate?	10. Was the likelihood of publication bias assessed?	11. Was the conflict of interest included?	Anvendes i NKR?
Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Ja
Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Ja
No	No	No	No	No	No	Nej
Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Nej
Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	Nej (anvendt til reference)
Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	Nej
Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Nej