



Evaluation of research applications -					
Name of applicant:			Application number:		
EX (1) = excellent, VG (2) = very good, G (3) = good, F (4) = fair, P (5) = poor					
1 RESEARCH OUTLINE	EX (1)	VG (2)	G (3)	F (4)	P (5)
Originality					
Conception					
Methodology					
Relevance to patients					
Clinical impact and perspective					
2a PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATORS					
Adequacy of scientific background					
Technical proficiency					
Familiarity with research literature					
2b OTHER INVESTIGATORS					
Adequacy of scientific background					
Technical proficiency					
3 PLANNED COLLABORATION					
Complementarity of expertise					
Dissemination of research results					
	Yes	No	Comments		
4 FACILITIES					
Unique facilities					
Facilities adequate in labs concerned					
5 OBJECTIVES PUT FORWARD IN CALL					
The research project is clearly linked to the primary objective of the Grant					
The research project includes national cooperation and coordination					
It is clearly outlined in the application how knowledge obtain during the research project will be spread systematically across the country and among relevant groups, thus shortening the path from research to clinical practice					
6 OVERALL SCIENTIFIC MERIT					
In its field the project is internationally					
- among the best 10%					
- among the best 11 - 30%					
- among the best 31 - 60%					
- not competitive					
7 Please prioritize and rank the X applications (1 to x)					



The applications will be classified as either *qualified for funding* or *not qualified for funding*.

Criteria's for being classified as *not qualified for funding*:

- One or more of the following rated P (5): *Originality, Conception, Methodology, Relevance to patients, Clinical impact and perspective, Adequacy of scientific background (principal investigator), Complementarity of expertise*
- Two or more of the following rated F (4): *Originality, Conception, Methodology, Relevance to patients, Clinical impact and perspective, Adequacy of scientific background (principal investigator)*
- Rated *no* in the following categories: *Facilities adequate in labs concerned, The research project is clearly linked to the primary objective of the Grant, It is clearly outlined in the application how knowledge obtain during the research project will be spread systematically across the country and among relevant groups, thus shortening the path from research to clinical practice*
- Rated *yes* in *not competitive (5. Scientific Merit)*

Applications that are not classified as *not qualified for funding* cf. the criteria's listed above will be classified as *qualified for funding*. The applications classified as *qualified for funding* will be prioritized and ranked by DHA-SCI on the basis of the ratings. The product of this procedure will be a list of applications classified as qualified for funding, where the application ranked as number one is the best qualified application, application ranked as number two is the second best qualified application and so on.

Overall assessment, strengths and weaknesses:

[DHA-SCI comments regarding the application]